indee Victoria Chancy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

Sharon J. Arkin, Bar No. 154858
Scott C. Glovsky, Bar No. 170477
ARKIN & GLOVSKY
225 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 1000
Pasadena, CA 91101
Telephone (626) 243-5598
Facsimile (866) 294-2501

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

APR 0 8 2008

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

BY SHAUNYA WEBLEY, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ANDREW A. ARCE, a minor, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, GUILLERMO ARCE; GUILLERMO ARCE, individually and on behalf of other similarly-situated persons,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

BC388689

Case No.:

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing;
- 2. Breach of Contract; and
- 3. Business & Professions Code section 17200 (class action claims as to this cause of action only)

Plaintiff Andrew A. Arce, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, and plaintiff Guillermo TY/CREE Arce allege based on the knowledge of Guillermo Arce with respect to his own acts and on PAIR PAIR TO THE THE THREE TO THE THREE THREE TO THE THREE THREE TO THE THREE THREE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

28

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This case highlights the importance of compliance with mandated medical standards with regard to the provision of care and treatment for children and adults diagnosed with a developmental disorder known as autism.
- 2. California's mental health parity law applicable to health care plans, Health & Safety Code section 1374.72, specifically requires that health care plans provide medically necessary diagnosis, care and treatment, including hospital care, doctor visits, outpatient services and prescription drugs, for treatment of specified mental illnesses at a level equal to the provision of benefits for physical illnesses. Section 1374.72(d)(7) specifically mandates that such coverage be provided by health care plans for medically necessary care and treatment of autism.
- 3. Despite this statutory mandate, defendant Kaiser Foundation Health Plan has a pattern and practice of persistently refusing to provide state-mandated health care and treatment for autism which is owed to its plan members under its health care contract. Rather than honoring its contract obligations and providing the medically necessary care and treatment, Kaiser has a pattern and practice of foisting its own contractual obligations onto the taxpayers by referring plan members with autism disorders to Regional Centers for treatment.
- 4. This action is intended to require Kaiser to honor its statutory and contractual obligations with respect to both plaintiff Andrew A. Arce and all other California plan members requiring medically necessary care and treatment for autism.

2.

THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Andrew A. Arce ("Andrew") is a minor, born December 14, 2005, who is represented in this action by his father, Guillermo Arce as his Guardian ad Litem.

- 6. Plaintiff Guillermo Arce is the father of plaintiff Andrew A. Arce, a minor, and, as such is legally responsible for payment of all medical care and treatment provided to Andrew. Plaintiffs are both residents of the County of Los Angeles and the contract at issue in this action was entered into in Los Angeles County and the defendants' performance under the contract is owed in Los Angeles County.
- 7. Defendant Kaiser Foundation Health Plan ("Kaiser") is a California corporation authorized to transact and transacting business in California with its principal place of business in California.
- 8. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 100, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Each of the defendants sued herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to, and legally caused injury and damages proximately thereby to plaintiffs as herein alleged. DOES 1 through 100 are citizens and residents of the State of California. Plaintiffs will ask leave of this court to amend this complaint to insert their true names and capacities in place and instead of the fictitious names when the same become known to plaintiffs.
- 9. At all relevant times, defendants, and each of them, were joint venturers, independent contractors, or the agents and employees of each of the remaining defendants, and at all times may have been acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and if so, each defendant has ratified and approved the acts of his agent.

3.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. At all relevant times, Andrew has been covered under a health care plan issued by Kaiser. The material terms of the Kaiser plan require it to provide assessment, diagnosis and medically-necessary treatment to Andrew.
 - 11. Pursuant to California's mental health parity law, Health & Safety Code section

1374.72, health care plans are required to provide medically necessary care and treatment, including hospital care, doctor visits, outpatient services and prescription drugs, for treatment of specified mental illnesses at a level equal to the provision of benefits for physical illnesses. Section 1374.72(d)(7) specifically mandates that such coverage must be provided by health care plans for medically necessary diagnosis, care and treatment of autism.

- 12. Andrew was born on December 14, 2005 and is currently 2-1/2 years old. Prior to December 2007 Andrew was diagnosed with a developmental disorder known as autism.
- 13. As the result of his diagnosis, Andrew sought evaluation and medically necessary treatment of his condition from Kaiser.
- 14. Despite Kaiser's contractual and statutory obligations to provide the care that Kaiser's own doctors have determined is medically necessary for him, Kaiser has consistently and persistently refused to provide such care and treatment for Andrew.
- 15. Kaiser has provided no reasonable basis for its refusal to comply with its contractual or statutory obligations with regard to providing medically necessary diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, treatment and care for Andrew.
- 16. Rather than providing the care required under its contract and by statute, Kaiser has a pattern and practice of referring autistic plan members to Regional Centers, which are government agencies funded by taxpayer dollars. Kaiser has, in fact, repeatedly and consistently refused to provide medically necessary care and treatment needed by Andrew and has, instead referred Andrew to his local Regional Center for care, thus transferring its contractual obligations to the taxpayers.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

PLAINTIFFS, FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT KAISER AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, FOR BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING, ALLEGE:

17. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of the General

Allegations as though set forth in full in this cause of action.

- 18. Defendant Kaiser issued a health care plan contract to Andrew, the material terms of which include, without limitation, that Andrew was to have timely access to medically necessary diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, care and treatment for autism.
- 19. Kaiser breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to Andrew by failing to provide him with timely access to medically necessary diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, care and treatment for autism.
- 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Kaiser and Does 1-100, inclusive, have breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing owed to plaintiffs by other acts or omissions of which plaintiffs are presently unaware and which will be shown according to proof at the time of trial.
- 21. As a proximate result of the aforementioned unreasonable and bad faith conduct of defendants, plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer in the future, damages under the plan contract, plus interest, and other economic and consequential damages, for a total amount to be shown at the time of trial.
- 22. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of defendants as alleged in this cause of action, plaintiffs have suffered anxiety, worry, mental, and emotional distress, all to plaintiffs' general damage in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.
- 23. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of defendants as alleged in this cause of action, Andrew has suffered physical injury, including developmental delays and other physical injuries.
- 24. As a further proximate result of the unreasonable and bad faith conduct of defendants as alleged in this cause of action, plaintiffs were compelled to retain legal counsel and expend costs in an effort to obtain the benefits due under the plan contract. Therefore, defendants as alleged in this cause of action are liable to plaintiffs for those attorneys' fees and litigation costs reasonably necessary and incurred by plaintiffs in order to obtain the plan benefits in a sum to be determined at trial.
 - 25. Defendants' conduct described herein was intended by the defendants to cause injury

to plaintiff or was despicable conduct carried on by the defendants with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of plaintiffs, or subjected plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of plaintiffs' rights, or was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to the defendants with the intention to deprive plaintiffs of property, legal rights or to otherwise cause injury, such as to constitute malice, oppression or fraud under California Civil Code section 3294, thereby entitling plaintiffs to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish or set an example of defendants.

26. Defendants' conduct described herein was undertaken by the corporate defendants' officers or managing agents, identified herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, who were responsible for claims supervision and operations, underwriting, communications and/or decisions. The aforementioned conduct of said managing agents and individuals was therefore undertaken on behalf of the corporate defendants. Said corporate defendants further had advance knowledge of the actions and conduct of said individuals whose action and conduct were ratified, authorized, and approved by managing agents whose precise identities are unknown to plaintiff at this time and are therefore identified and designated herein as DOES 1 through 100.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

PLAINTIFFS, FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT KAISER AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, ALLEGE:

- 27. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of the General Allegations as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 28. Defendant Kaiser issued a health care plan contract to Andrew, the material terms of which include, without limitation, that Andrew was to have timely access to medically necessary diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, care and treatment for autism.
- 29. Kaiser breached its contractual duties owed to Andrew by failing to provide him with timely access to medically necessary diagnosis, assessment, evaluation, care and treatment for

autism.

- 30. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Kaiser and Does 1-100, inclusive, have breached their contractual duties owed to plaintiffs by other acts or omissions of which plaintiffs are presently unaware and which will be shown according to proof at the time of trial.
- 31. As a proximate result of the aforementioned unreasonable and bad faith conduct of defendants, plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer in the future, damages under the plan contract, plus interest, and other economic and consequential damages, for a total amount to be shown at the time of trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Business & Professions Code section 17200)

PLAINTIFFS, FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST KAISER FOR VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200. ALLEGE:

- 32. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of the General Allegations as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 33. Kaiser's conduct as alleged above, in failing to comply with the standards, policies and procedures provided pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 1374.72 has committed acts of unfair competition as set forth in Business & Professions Code section 17200.
- 34. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Kaiser's acts of unfair competition are continuing in nature.
- 35. Plaintiffs have suffered an injury in fact and have lost money or property as the result of Kaiser's conduct and respectfully request that injunction against Kaiser issue to enjoin it from continuing to engage in the unfair competition alleged herein.
- 36. Plaintiffs further respectfully request that the court order any other and further equitable relief deemed necessary by the court.
- 37. Plaintiffs respectfully request an award of attorneys' fees upon prevailing in the request for relief in this cause of action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

1	
1	WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as
2	follows:
3	AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:
4	1. For special and general damages according to proof at the time of trial;
5	2. For punitive damages;
6	3. For attorney's fees and litigation costs;
7	4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
8	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
9	
10	AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:
11	6. For special and general damages according to proof at the time of trial;
12	7. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
13	8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
14	
15	AS TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:
16	9. For injunctive relief;
17	10. For attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;
18	11. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
19	12. For such other and proper relief as the Court deems just and proper.
20	Dated this 8th day of April 2008, at Pasadena, California.
21	
22	ARKIN & GLOVSKY
23 24 25 26	By: Sharon Warkin SCOTT C. GLOVSKY Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

25 26

ARKIN & GLOVSKY

By: _

SCOTT C. GLOVSKY Attorney for Plaintiff

		CM-010
, , , =	number, and address):	FILED
ARKIN & GLOVSKY		OS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
225 S. Lake Ave., Suite 100)	
Pasadena, CA 91101		
TELEPHONE NO.: 626.243.5598	FAX NO.: 866.294.2508	APR 0 8 2008
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs	~ ~~~~	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill	s Angeles	JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK
mailing address: 111 N. Hill		
city and zip code: Los Angeles, CA : BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Cou:	90012	BY SHAUNYA WESLEY, DEPUTY
CASE NAME: Arce v. Kaiser	ttilouse	-
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	nt June BC388689
l demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defenda (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	elow must be completed (see instructions	DEPT:
1. Check one box below for the case type that	_ 	, on page 2).
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	X Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort		
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08) Defamation (13)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Commercial (31)	
Intellectual property (19)	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (45)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is X is not comp	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement:	·
a. Large number of separately repre		
b. Extensive motion practice raising		vith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	<u> </u>	estjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.		declaratory or injunctive relief c. x punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): The		
1.3	ss action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You i	may use form CM-015.)
Date: April 8, 2008 Sharon J. Arkin, Esq.		and annin
Sharon Arkin, Esq. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceedir	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V in sanctions.	veitare and institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any cov	er sheet required by local court rule.	
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et 	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3 740 or a compley case, this cover shi	ent will be used for statistical nurnoses only
- Chicoo the load conections case under full		Page 1 of 2

Legal Solutions Q Plus

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

Confession of Judgment (non-

Administrative Agency Award

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

domestic relations)

(not unpaid taxes)

Other Complaint (not specified

Declaratory Relief Only

harassment)

Other Civil Complaint

Partnership and Corporate

Other Petition (not specified

Governance (21)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief from Late

Mechanics Lien

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

above) (43)

Abuse

Claim

Election Contest

Other Civil Petition

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

Other Commercial Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Sister State Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Insurance Coverage Claims

Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Construction Defect (10)

Securities Litigation (28)

Enforcement of Judgment

County)

Case

above) (42)

RICO (27)

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex. CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/

Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud
Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wronaful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise.

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

· ·	
SHORT TITLE: Arce v. Kaiser	CASE NUMBER
	 BC388689

		ASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION ATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION AND STATEMENT AN	=
	This form is required p	pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles	Superior Court.
Item Steathe Stea Stea	RYTRIAL? X YES CL IN II. Select the correct IP 1: After first comple left margin below, and, IP 2: Check one Supe IP 3: In Column C, circ	district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", sking the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet he to the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected rior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature see the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action y	eading for your case in l. of this case.
FOR	· · ·	ourt location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0. Cable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (See Column C belo	w)
Ste	Class Actions must be May be filed in Centra Location where cause Location where bodily Location where perfor	e filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. 6. Location of property or perma 7. Location where petitioner resi	nently garaged vehicle. des. espondent functions wholly. if the parties reside. ner Office.
	Α	В	С
벋	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
o Tort	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22)		
Auto Tort	Category No.	(Check only one)	See Step 3 Above
-	Category No. Auto (22)	(Check only one) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	See Step 3 Above 1., 2., 4.
-	Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46)	(Check only one) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist A6070 Asbestos Property Damage	See Step 3 Above 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 2.
-	Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04)	(Check only one) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	See Step 3 Above 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 2. 2.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort Auto Tort	Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04) Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice	(Check only one) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	See Step 3 Above 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 2. 2. 1., 2., 3., 4., 8.

(23)	A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 2., 3.	
. 	A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.	
Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.	
Civil Fights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.	
Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.	

A6013 Fraud (no contract)

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort

1., 2., 3.

Fraud (16)

Damage/	SHORT TIT
//Property ort (Cont'd	Civil Sheet
sonal Injur Il Death To	Prof Neg
Non-Per: Wrongfu	Ot
ment	Wrongfu
Employ	Other I
	Breach W
	(not
ntract	Co
Ŝ	Insurar
	Othe
≯	Dom Conde
roper	Wron
Real P	Other I
ā	Unlaw Com
1 Detain€	Unlaw Resi
.5	

LE:	Arce v. Kaiser	CASE NUMBER	

3 <u>-</u> [
Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Death T	Professional Negligence (25)	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Wrongful	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.
L	Wrongful Termination (36)	A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
i	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
CO	Insurance Coverage (18)	X A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
>	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real P	Other Real Property (26)	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2. ,6. 2., 6.
ē	Unlawful Detainer - Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
l Detainer	Unlawful Detainer - Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful	Unlawful Detainer - Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Review	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Judicial F	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

SHORTTHE: Arce v. Kaiser CASE NUMBER

ì	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
Judici	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ / Judicial Review	2., 8.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ionally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisi	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
Civil	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6030 Declaratory Relief Only A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
ş	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

SHORI MILE: ALCE V. Kalser			CASE NUMBER	
				sidence or place of business, performance, or ason for filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER CO	LUMN C WHICH	APPLIES IN THIS CASE		
▼ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6	578.	910.	50 Wees	t Mountain Street, Unit 10
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	1	
Pasadena 	CA	91103-3030		
foregoing is true and correct and t	hat the above	e-entitled matter is	s properly fi	the laws of the State of California that the illed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk
				District of the Los Angeles Superior Court
(Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., ar	nd LASC Loc	al Rule 2.0, subds	s. (b), (c) ar	nd (d)).
Dated: <u>April 8, 2008</u>				(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)
			Sharon	ıJ. Arkin

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.